Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/646,316	CLARK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeff H. Aftergut	1733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in the or other appropriate communing GHTS. This application is sub-	nis application. If not included cation will be mailed in due course. T	
1. This communication is responsive to <u>application filed 8-22-</u>	<u>03</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-22</u> .			
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" on the delow. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Lidentifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the composition of the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT for the deposit of the deposit of	been received. been received in Application I cuments have been received in of this communication to file a ENT of this application. Itted. Note the attached EXAM as reason(s) why the oath or do to be submitted. on's Patent Drawing Review (as Amendment / Comment or in the header according to 37 CFR as it of BIOLOGICAL MATER	No In this national stage application from reply complying with the requirement liner's AMENDMENT or NOTICE Officeration is deficient. PTO-948) attached the Office action of drawings in the front (not the back) of 1.121(d). IAL must be submitted. Note the	ts
 Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/02 Paper No./Mail Date 4-25-05 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	6. ⊠ Interview Sum Paper No./Ma 8), 7. ⊠ Examiner's An	mal Patent Application (PTO-152) mary (PTO-413), iil Date ID os nendment/Comment atement of Reasons for Allowance	

Application/Control Number: 10/646,316

Art Unit: 1733

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-26, drawn to an apparatus for automated composite lay up, classified in class 156, subclass 425.
- II. Claims 27-32, drawn to a method of automated composite lay up, classified in class 156, subclass 166.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed could be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one which included a platform disposed within the mandrel which was capable of being raised and lowered in order to apply for fiber placement within the mandrel wherein plural applicators were disposed upon the platform. Additionally, the apparatus could be used in a materially different process such as one which was used to line a pipe wherein the composite material was permanently adhered to the interior wall of the pipe.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1733

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. During a telephone conversation with David Bowls on 10-11-05 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-26. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 27-32 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

7. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with David Bowls on 10-11-05.

The application has been amended as follows:

Art Unit: 1733

Claims 23-26 are cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Claims 27-32 are cancelled as being directed to a non-elected invention, the election having been made without traverse.

8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: None of the prior art of record taught or suggested that those skilled in the art would have provided a device for automated composite lay up which included a circular ring disposed about the tool and the mandrel and concentric with the axis of rotation of the tool, a gantry beam disposed to access the inside of the mandrel, a plurality of delivery heads supported by the gantry beam wherein the gantry beam provided for movement between the plurality of delivery heads and the inner surface of the mandrel and at least one of the heads is capable of being adjusted relative to the inner mandrel surface. The reference to French Patent 2,579,130 (Tisne) suggested that those skilled in the art would have known to place fibers either on the inside of the mold surface or on the exterior of the mold surface (see Figure 3 and the description thereof in the translation and compare for example to Figure 1). The reference did not envision the use of multiple heads or the use of the gantry and the ring as defined in the claims. The reference to Koury taught the use of multiple heads for placement of the fibers on a mandrel wherein the use of multiple heads facilitated the speed of manufacture making the processing economical. The reference did not envision the use of multiple heads for the interior of a mold or mandrel, however the use of fiber placement on the interior of exterior of a mold or mandrel was recognized by French Patent '130. The reference did not utilize the

Art Unit: 1733

specific arrangement of the ring and the gantry as defined in the claims. It should be noted that each of claims 1, 9, 15, and 22 defined the inclusion of the circular ring and the gantry beam carrying the multiple heads and the relations of the two in the system and none of the prior art of record taught or suggested the same.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff H. Aftergut whose telephone number is 571-272-1212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:15-345 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeff H. Aftergut

Application/Control Number: 10/646,316

Art Unit: 1733

Page 6

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

JHA October 12, 2005